

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,123	11/01/2000	Tilmann Haug	225/49093	9928	
23911 7590 07/17/2002 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DERRINGTON, JAMES H		
	N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
			1731	7	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/622,123	HAUG ET AL.	59			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Derrington	1731				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
1) 🗌	•	his action is non-final.					
2a) ☐	,		prosecution as to t	the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,21 and 25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16,17 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t l C					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers .							
		er					
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>★ See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper I nal Patent Application (I				

Art Unit: 1731

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-15 and 25, drawn to a process of producing a composite fiber material.

Group II, claim(s) 16-17 and 25, drawn to a fiber composite material.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 16 is anticipated by EP0528131. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the two invention, a fiber composite material, does not provide a contribution over the prior, and no single inventive concept exits and restriction is therefore appropriate.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Herbert I. Cantor on 2/28/2002 a provisional election was made with oral traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15 and 25. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-17 and 21 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 1-15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the production of a "reaction-bonded ... silicon-based matrix", by infiltration of molten liquid silicon into a shaped porous body containing carbon (See page 6, lines 13-18 and examples) does not reasonably provide enablement for production of a reaction bonded silicon matrix by other methods. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims should be amended accordingly.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lida et al (5,741,457) in view Krenkel et al (6,086,814) or the prior art discussed at pages 2-3 of the specification.

lida et al disclose the process of producing fiber composite material comprising filling a mold with a plurality of compositions is successive steps (See paragraph bridging Cols 1 and 2). It is clear that a pressing step is envisioned by lida et al (See Col. 1, line 22). Iida et al disclose that fibrous reinforcement and phenolic resins can be included in the compositions (Col. 1, lines 16-17). The successive introduction of the mold materials at predetermined areas in the mold are clearly mold materials of "different kinds" (See abstract). Claim 1 differs in that the molding material is based on

Art Unit: 1731

a reaction bonded silicon based matrix with fibers based on carbon, silicon, boron or nitrogen. Krenkel et al disclose prior art processes of preparing carbon containing bodies and then infiltrating with molten silicon to prepare a reaction bonded silicon matrix. Krenkel et al disclose that the prior art has prepared carbon fiber-reinforced bodies by this silicification method (See Col. 1, lines 15-51). The materials of Krenkel are suitable for use as friction materials, e.g. brake materials (See Col. 1, lines 42-45). Similarly the prior art discussed at pages 2-3 sets forth processes of preparing carbon containing bodies and then infiltrating with molten silicon to prepare a reaction bonded silicon matrix. Iida et al disclose that their process of introducing different molding materials including fibers and binder into a mold provides advantages such as providing dispersion that is more satisfactory and improved properties at desired locations in the molded product and omission of mixing steps (See Col. 5, lines 17-35). In order to achieve the benefits of the process of lida et al as discussed above, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the mold filling technique of lida et al for preparing the materials of Krenkel et al and the prior art discussed in the instant specification.

With regard to limitations in the dependent claims, Iida et al disclose the production of granules (See Col. 3, line 62) while kneading to mix components is conventional and notoriously well known. The phenolic resin of Iida et al is curable by heating (Col. 1, line 6 and line 22).

Claims 3-13 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lida et al (5,741,457) in view Krenkel et al (6,086,814) or the prior art discussed at

Art Unit: 1731

pages 2-3 of the specification as applied to claims 1-2 and 14-15 above, and further in view of Chen et al (4,837,230) and or Derwent Acc No 1989-012429.

Chen et al disclose that reinforcing fibers for structural purposes can be improved in terms of strength (Abstract) by applying refractory coatings including carbon refractory coatings (See Col. 6, lines 27-57). It would have been obvious to use coatings with the fibers of lida et al for the purposes disclosed by Chen et al. Derwent Acc No 1989-012429 discloses additional details regarding formation of granules of fibers and binders by extrusion. It would have been obvious to use these steps for forming the granules of lida et al for art recognized purposes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Derrington whose telephone number is 703 308-3832. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7718 for regular communications and 703 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

JAMES DERRINGTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 197-173/

jd July 15, 2002